

ISO 9001 - 2015

ISSN 2349 - 4891

Monthly



IF  
4.665

*Volume 4, Issue 9, September 2017*

International Journal of  
**Recent Research and Applied Studies**

**SURRAGH PUBLICATIONS**  
SURRAGH PUBLICATIONS





## Effect of Strength, Endurance and Concurrent Training on selected Bio-Motor Variables of Middle Distance Runners

S.Loganathan<sup>1</sup> & Dr.S.Glady Kirubakar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D., Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education, Tamilnadu Physical Education and Sports University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, YMCA College of Physical Education, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India.

Received 29th July 2017, Accepted 1st September 2017

### Abstract

*The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of strength, endurance and concurrent training on selected bio-motor variables of middle distance runners. To achieve the purpose of the present study, sixty middle distance runners from affiliated colleges of University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India were selected as subjects at random and their ages ranged from 18 to 28 years. The study was formulated as a true random group design, consisting of a pre-test and post-test. Sixty middle distance runners from affiliated colleges of University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India was selected as subjects at random and their ages ranged from 18 to 28 years. The subjects (N=60) were randomly assigned to four equal groups of fifteen subjects each. Pre test was conducted for all the subjects on selected bio-motor variables. This initial test scores formed as pre test scores of the subjects. The groups were assigned as Experimental Group I, Experimental Group II, Experimental Group III and Control Group in an equivalent manner. Experimental Group I was exposed to strength training, Experimental Group II was exposed to endurance training, Experimental Group III was exposed to concurrent training and Control Group was not exposed to any experimental training other than their regular daily activities. The duration of experimental period was 12 weeks. After the experimental treatment, all the sixty subjects were tested on their bio-motor variables. This final test scores formed as post test scores of the subjects. The pre test and post test scores were subjected to statistical analysis using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) to find out the significance among the mean differences, whenever the 'F' ratio for adjusted test was found to be significant; Scheffe's post hoc test was used. In all cases 0.05 level of confidence was fixed to test hypotheses. The concurrent training had shown significant improvement in speed and agility than the strength training, endurance training and control groups.*

**Keywords:** Strength, Endurance, Concurrent, Middle Distance Runners.

© Copy Right, IJRRAS, 2017. All Rights Reserved.

### Introduction

Global Strength is the neuromuscular capability to overcome an external and internal resistance. The maximum strength that an athlete can produce depends on the biomechanical characteristics of a movement, and the magnitude of contraction of the muscles involved. In addition, the maximum strength is also a function of the intensity of an impulse. Strength training can be resulted in hypertrophy of the muscle, partly through an enlargement of muscle fibers. In addition, training with high resistance can change the fiber type distribution in the direction of faster twitch fibers. There is also neuromotor effect of strength training and part of the increase in muscle strength can be attributed to changes in the nervous system. An improvement in muscular strength training through isolated movements seems closely related to training speeds (Baechle, 1994).

Endurance training is essential for a variety of endurance sports. A notable example is distance running events with the required degree of endurance training increasing with race distance. Two other popular examples are cycling and competitive swimming. These three endurances are combined in triathlon. Other endurance sports for which extensive amounts of endurance trained include rowing and cross country skiing. Athletes can also undergo endurance training when their sport may not necessarily be an endurance sport in the whole sense but may still demand some endurance. For instance aerobic endurance is necessary in racket sports, football, rugby, martial arts and basketball. Endurance exercise tends to be popular with non-athletes for the purpose of increasing general fitness or burning more calories to increase weight loss potential.

Concurrent training is undertaken by numerous athletes in various sports in an effort to achieve adaptations specific to both forms of training. The research findings to date, investigating the neuromuscular adaptations and performance improvements associated with concurrent strength and endurance training (referred to as concurrent training)

### Correspondence

S.Loganathan

E-mail: nitya.sports@gmail.com, Ph. +9198411 41679

have produced inconsistent results. Some studies have shown that concurrent training inhibits the development of strength and power, but does not affect the development of aerobic fitness when compared to either mode of training alone. Other studies have shown that concurrent training has no inhibitory effect on the development of strength and endurance (Tanaka & Swenson, 1998).

### Methodology

The purpose of the study was to find out the effect of strength, endurance and concurrent training on selected bio-motor variables of middle distance runners. To achieve the purpose of the present study, sixty middle distance runners from affiliated colleges of University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India were selected as subjects at random and their ages ranged from 18 to 28 years. The study was formulated as a true random group design, consisting of a pre-test and post-test. Sixty middle distance runners from affiliated colleges of University of Madras, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India was selected as subjects at random and their ages ranged from 18 to 28 years. The subjects (N=60) were randomly

assigned to four equal groups of fifteen subjects each. Pre test was conducted for all the subjects on selected bio-motor variables. This initial test scores formed as pre test scores of the subjects. The groups were assigned as Experimental Group I, Experimental Group II, Experimental Group III and Control Group in an equivalent manner. Experimental Group I was exposed to strength training, Experimental Group II was exposed to endurance training, Experimental Group III was exposed to concurrent training and Control Group was not exposed to any experimental training other than their regular daily activities. The duration of experimental period was 12 weeks. After the experimental treatment, all the sixty subjects were tested on their bio-motor variables. This final test scores formed as post test scores of the subjects. The pre test and post test scores were subjected to statistical analysis using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) to find out the significance among the mean differences, whenever the 'F' ratio for adjusted test was found to be significant; Scheffe's post hoc test was used. In all cases 0.05 level of confidence was fixed to test hypotheses.

### Results

Table 1

*Computation of analysis of covariance of strength training endurance training concurrent training and control groups on speed (Seconds)*

	STG	ETG	CTG	CG	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Means Squares	F-ratio
<b>Pre-Test Means</b>	5.06	5.09	5.10	5.09	<b>BG</b>	0.009	3	0.003	1.21
					<b>WG</b>	0.14	56	0.003	
<b>Post-Test Means</b>	4.65	4.70	4.52	5.08	<b>BG</b>	2.56	3	0.85	54.87*
					<b>WG</b>	0.87	56	0.01	
<b>Adjusted Post-Test Means</b>	4.66	4.70	4.51	5.08	<b>BG</b>	2.62	3	0.87	60.99*
					<b>WG</b>	0.78	55	0.01	

\* Significant

(Table Value for 0.05 Level for df 3 & 56 = 2.76)

(Table Value for 0.05 Level for df 3 & 55 = 2.77)

Table 1 indicated that the pre test means of strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 5.06, 5.09, 5.10 and 5.09 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the pre-test was 1.21 and the table F-ratio was 2.76. Hence, the pre-test mean F-ratio was insignificant at 0.05 level of confidence for the degree of freedom 3 and 56. This proved that there was no significant difference between

the experimental and control groups indicating that the process of randomization of the groups was perfect while assigning the subjects to groups. The post-test means of the strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 4.65, 4.70, 4.52 and 5.08 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the post-test was 54.87 and the table F-ratio was 2.76. Hence, the post-test mean F-ratio was significant at 0.05 level of

confidence for the degree of freedom 3 and 56. This proved that the differences between the post test means of the subjects were significant. The adjusted post-test means of the strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 4.66, 4.70 and 4.51 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the adjusted post-test means was 60.99 and the table F-ratio was 2.77. Hence, the adjusted post-test mean F-ratio was

significant at 0.05 level of confidence for the degree of freedom 2 and 41. This proved that there was a significant difference among the means due to the experimental trainings on speed. Since significant differences were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's post hoc test. The results were presented in Table 2.

Table 2

The scheffe's test for the differences between the adjusted post test means on speed (Seconds)

Adjusted Post-Test Means				Mean Difference	Confidence Interval
STG	ETG	CTG	CG		
4.66	4.70	---	---	0.04	0.12
4.66	---	4.51	---	0.16*	
4.66	---	---	5.08	0.42*	
---	4.70	4.51	---	0.20*	
---	4.70	---	5.08	0.38*	
---	---	4.51	5.08	0.58*	

\* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

The multiple comparisons showed in Table 2 proved that there existed significant differences between the adjusted means of strength training and concurrent training group (0.16), strength training and control group (0.42), endurance training and concurrent training group (0.20), endurance training and control group (0.38), concurrent training with control group (0.58). There was

no significant difference between strength training and endurance training group (0.04) at 0.05 level of confidence with the confidence interval value of 0.12. The pre, post and adjusted means on speed were presented through bar diagram for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure I.

Figure I

Pre post and adjusted post test differences of the, strength training endurance training concurrent training and control groups on speed (Seconds)




Table 3

Computation of analysis of covariance of strength training endurance training concurrent training and control groups on agility (Seconds)

	STG	ETG	CTG	CG	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Means Squares	F-ratio
<b>Pre-Test Means</b>	12.30	12.06	12.25	12.11	<b>BG</b>	0.59	3	0.19	1.05
					<b>WG</b>	10.49	56	0.18	
<b>Post-Test Means</b>	11.13	11.15	10.77	12.09	<b>BG</b>	14.32	3	4.77	44.76*
					<b>WG</b>	5.97	56	0.10	
<b>Adjusted Post-Test Means</b>	11.13	11.15	10.77	12.09	<b>BG</b>	14.22	3	4.74	43.75*
					<b>WG</b>	5.96	55	0.10	

\* Significant

(Table Value for 0.05 Level for df 3 & 56 = 2.76)

(Table Value for 0.05 Level for df 3 & 55 = 2.77)

Table 3 indicated that the pre test means of strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 12.30, 12.06, 12.25 and 12.11 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the pre-test was 1.05 and the table F-ratio was 2.76. Hence, the pre-test mean F-ratio was insignificant at 0.05 level of confidence for the degree of freedom 3 and 56. This proved that there was no significant difference between the experimental and control groups indicating that the process of randomization of the groups was perfect while assigning the subjects to groups. The post-test means of the strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 11.13, 11.15, 10.77 and 12.09 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the post-test was 44.76 and the table F-ratio was 2.76. Hence, the post-test mean F-ratio was significant at 0.05 level of

confidence for the degree of freedom 3 and 56. This proved that the differences between the post test means of the subjects were significant. The adjusted post-test means of the strength training, endurance training and concurrent training and control groups were 11.13, 11.15, 10.77 and 12.09 respectively. The obtained F-ratio for the adjusted post-test means was 43.75 and the table F-ratio was 2.77. Hence, the adjusted post-test mean F-ratio was significant at 0.05 level of confidence for the degree of freedom 3 and 55. This proved that there was a significant difference among the means due to the experimental trainings on agility. Since significant differences were recorded, the results were subjected to post hoc analysis using Scheffe's post hoc test. The results were presented in Table 4.

Table 4

The scheffe's test for the differences between the adjusted post test means on agility (Seconds)

Adjusted Post-Test Means				Mean Difference	Confidence Interval
STG	ETG	CTG	CG		
11.13	11.15	---	---	0.02	0.33
11.13	---	10.77	---	0.36*	
11.13	---	---	12.09	0.96*	
---	11.15	10.77	---	0.38*	
---	11.15	---	12.09	0.94*	
---	---	10.77	12.09	1.32*	

\* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

The multiple comparisons showed in Table 4 proved that there existed significant differences between the adjusted means of strength training and concurrent

training group (0.36), strength training and control group (0.96), endurance training and concurrent training group (0.38), endurance training and control group (0.94),




concurrent training with control group (1.32). There was no significant difference between strength training and endurance training group (0.02) at 0.05 level of confidence with the confidence interval value of 0.33.

The pre, post and adjusted means on agility were presented through bar diagram for better understanding of the results of this study in Figure II.

**Figure II**

Pre post and adjusted post test differences of the, strength training endurance training concurrent training and control groups on agility (Seconds)



## Conclusions

From the analysis of the data, the following conclusions were drawn:

1. The strength training had shown significant improvement in speed and agility among middle distance runners after undergoing strength training for a period of twelve weeks.
2. The endurance training had shown significant improvement in speed and agility among middle distance runners after undergoing endurance training for a period of twelve weeks.
3. The concurrent training had shown significant improvement in speed and agility among middle distance runners after undergoing concurrent training for a period of twelve weeks.
4. The concurrent training had shown significant improvement in speed and agility than the strength training, endurance training and control groups.

## References

1. Chundu Venkata Rao & Kishore. Y. (2014). Combined Effect of Strength and Plyometric Training Programme on Selected Motor Fitness Components of Male Kabaddi Players. *International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies*, 2014, 2 (11), 43-45.
2. Davis, W.J., Wood, D.T., Andrews, R.G., Elkind, L.M., & Davis, W.B (2008). Concurrent training enhances athletes' cardiovascular and cardio respiratory measures. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, Sep; 22(5):1503-14.
3. Kaixin, Tian., Jun, Qin., Lan, Huang., Min, Long., Shiyong, Yu., Yang, Yu. & Jian, Wu. (2014). Effect of Endurance Training on the Autonomic Nervous System Function of Young Male. *IJCM Vol.5 No.19*.
4. Karthikeyan. P. (2014). Effects of Varied Loads of Resistance Training on Selected Strength Parameters. *International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies*, 1 (13), 48 - 51.
5. Kippelen, P., Caillaud, C., Robert, E., Connes, P., Godard, P. & Prefaut, C. (2005). Effect of endurance training on lung function: a one year study. *Br J Sports Med*, 39:617-621.
6. Sagayaraj, S. Rajendran, K. Murugavel. K. (2014). Effect of Varied Intensities of Weight Training on Selected Power Parameters among Untrained Women. *International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies*, 2014, 1, 4(18), 80 - 85.
7. Santos, A.P., Marinho, D.A., Costa, A.M., Izquierdo, M., & Marques, M.C. (2012). The effects of concurrent resistance and endurance training follow a detraining period in elementary school students. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 26(6):170816.
8. Sethu, S. (2014). Enhancement of Strength Training

- on Strength Power Endurance and Flexibility. International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies, 2 (15), 54-60.
9. Sethu. S. (2014). A Comparison of Endurance Training Responses to Hill and Sand among School Football Players. International Journal of Recent Research and Applied Studies, 1 (14), 52 – 58.