



Effect of Aerobic Interval Training on Selected Physiological Variables among College Men players

S.Meiyappasamy¹ & Dr.D.Gokulakrishnan²

¹Research Scholar, Ph.D., Part Time Category-B, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India.

²Director of Physical Education, SSM Polytechnic College, Komarapalayam, Namakkal, Tamilnadu.

Received 30th December 2015, Accepted 22nd January 2016

Abstract

The purpose of the study was to investigate the effect of aerobic interval training on physiological variables among college men players. To achieve the purpose of this study, thirty college men players from Periyar university college of Arts and science, Idappadi, Salem, Tamilnadu, India were randomly selected as subjects. As per the records, their age ranged from 18 to 27 years. Anaerobic power was assessed using Margaria Kalamen Anaerobic Power test and body composition was calculated using Body Mass Index. True randomized experimental group design has been employed with two groups, namely imagery group and control group with 15 subjects each. Group I participated their treatments for a period of twelve weeks and no training were given to the control group. The two groups were statistically analysed by using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The findings of the present study have strongly indicates that aerobic interval training of twelve weeks have significant effect on physiological variables i.e. anaerobic power and BMI of college men players. Hence the hypothesis earlier set that aerobic interval training programme would have been significant effect on physiological variables in light of the same the hypothesis was accepted.

Keywords: Aerobic Interval Training, Anaerobic power, BMI, College Men Players.

© Copy Right, IJRRAS, 2016. All Rights Reserved.

Introduction

Interval training is a type of physical training that involves a series of low- to high-intensity exercise workouts interspersed with rest or relief periods. The high-intensity periods are typically at or close to anaerobic exercise, while the recovery periods involve activity of lower intensity. Varying the intensity of effort exercises the heart muscle, providing a cardiovascular workout, improving aerobic capacity and permitting the person to exercise for longer and/or more intense levels. Aerobic interval training is a simple way to increase caloric burn during a workout and easily enhance weight loss efforts, without tacking on any extra time spent in the gym. This method of training involves methodically weaving a short, extra intense burst of exercise intermittently throughout a regular cardio workout routine. This technique causes the body to burn calories at a higher rate than it would during a workout consisting of a constant, steady pace.

Methodology

The purpose of the study was to investigate the effect of aerobic interval training on physiological

variables among college men players. To achieve the purpose of this study, thirty college men players from Periyar university college of Arts and science, Idappadi, Salem, Tamilnadu, India were randomly selected as subjects. As per the records, their age ranged from 18 to 27 years. Anaerobic power was assessed using Margaria Kalamen Anaerobic Power test and body composition was calculated using Body Mass Index. True randomized experimental group design has been employed with two groups, namely imagery group and control group with 15 subjects each. Group I participated their treatments for a period of twelve weeks and no training were given to the control group.

Statistical Technique

The data collected from the two groups prior to and post experimentation were statistically analyzed to find out the significant difference if any, by applying the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). In all the cases statistical significance was fixed at .05 levels.

Results and Discussion

The detailed procedure of analysis of data and interpretation were given below,

Correspondence

S.Meiyappasamy

E-mail: meiyappasamy@gmail.com, Ph. +9199428 68464

Table I. Summary of Descriptive Statistics on Physiological variables among College men players

S.No	Variables	Experimental Group					Control Group				
		Pre	SD (±)	Post	SD (±)	Adjusted Mean	Pre	SD (±)	Post	SD (±)	Adjusted Mean
1	Anaerobic power	1202.25	10.25	1430.54	11.47	1430.51	1204.35	13.24	1210.51	12.21	1210.44
2	BMI	24.22	1.24	22.14	1.35	22.13	24.31	0.96	24.12	1.13	24.14

The table I shows that the pre and post test means and standard deviation of two groups on physiological variables of college men players.

Table II. Analysis of Variance of Pre Test Scores on Physiological variables among College men players

Sl. No	Variables	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F-Value
1	Anaerobic power	BG	0.15	1	0.15	0.04
		WG	93.45	28	3.33	
2	BMI	BG	10.71	1	10.71	1.38
		WG	217.16	28	7.75	

* $P < 0.05$ Table F, df (1,28) (0.05) = 4.19

In table II, the results of analysis of variance of pre test scores on anaerobic power (0.04) and BMI (1.38) were lesser than the table value of 4.19 indicating that it

was not significant for the degrees of freedom (1,28) at 0.05 level of confidence indicating that the random sampling was successful.

Table III. Analysis of Variance of Post Test Scores on Physiological variables among College men players

Sl. No	Variables	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F-Value
1	Anaerobic power	BG	244.03	1	244.03	45.21*
		WG	151.13	28	5.39	
2	BMI	BG	874.80	1	874.8	98.11*
		WG	249.66	28	8.91	

* $P < 0.05$ Table F, df (1,28) (0.05) = 4.19

In table III, the results of analysis of variance of post test scores on anaerobic power (45.21) and BMI (98.11) were greater than the table value of 4.19

indicating that it was not significant for the degrees of freedom (1,28) at 0.05 level of confidence.

Table IV. Analysis of Covariance of Adjusted post test scores on Physiological variables among College men players

Sl. No	Variables	Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Squares	F-Value
1	Anaerobic power	BG	274.83	1	274.83	49.73*
		WG	149.21	27	5.52	
2	BMI	BG	853.28	1	853.28	85.34*
		WG	269.95	27	9.99	

* $P < 0.05$ Table F, df (1,27) (0.05) = 4.21

In table IV, the results of analysis of covariance of adjusted post test scores anaerobic power (49.73) and BMI (85.34) were greater than the table value of 4.21

indicating that it was not significant for the degrees of freedom (1,27) at 0.05 level of confidence.

Figure I. Shows the Mean Values of Anaerobic power on Experimental and Control groups among College men players





Figure II. Shows the Mean Values of BMI on Experimental and Control groups among College men players



In case of physiological variables i.e. anaerobic power and BMI the results between pre and post (12 weeks) test has been found significantly higher in experimental group in comparison to control group. The findings of the present study have strongly indicates that aerobic interval training of twelve weeks have significant effect on physiological variables i.e. anaerobic power and BMI of college men players. Hence the hypothesis earlier set that aerobic interval training programme would have been significant effect on physiological

variables in light of the same the hypothesis was accepted.

Conclusions

In the light of the study undertaken with certain limitations imposed by the experimental conditions, the following conclusion was drawn.

The result of the study reveals that there was a significant improvement in the experimental group on selected variables when compared to the control group

after the completion of twelve weeks of imagery training.

References

1. Alkahtani S. (2014). Comparing fat oxidation in an exercise test with moderate-intensity interval training. *J Sports Sci Med.* 13(1):51-8.
2. Alkahtani, S.A., Byrne, N.M., Hills, A.P. & King, N.A. (2014). Interval Training Intensity Affects Energy Intake Compensation in Obese Men. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2014 Mar 25.
3. Barrow, H.M., Mc. Gee. M. and Kathleen A. Tritschler (1989). *Practical measurements in Physical Education and Sports*, Philadelphia: Lea Fibiger.
4. Barry, L. Johnson, L. Barry and Jack K. Nelson, (1982). *Practical Measurement for Evaluation in Physical Education*, New Jersey; Englewood Cliffs Prentice Hall, Inc.
5. Gillen, J.B. & Gibala, M.J. (2014). Is high-intensity interval training a time-efficient exercise strategy to improve health and fitness? *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* 39(3):409-12.
6. Logan, G.R., Harris, N., Duncan, S. & Schofield, G. (2014). A Review of Adolescent High-Intensity Interval Training. *Sports Med.* 2014 Apr 18.
7. Silva, J. M. and Weinberg, R. S.(1984). *Physiological Foundations of Sports*. Illinois: Human Kinetics.