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#### Abstract

The purpose of the study was to find out the relationship of stride length, stride time and stride frequency on 100 m sprint performance. For this purpose, 13 university level sprinters were selected from different colleges and athletic club in Chennai. The subjects were captured while running 100 meters sprint on mud track using four Y1 cameras with f/2.8 aperture lens with 155 degrees of view, resolution at 1080 p and $60 f p s$. All the 4 cameras were mounted at a height of 0.93 meters with the equal distance of seven meters from plane of motion. Video was captured from 40 meters to 68 meters of 100 m performance. The performance of 100 meter sprint was measured in $1 / 100$ of a second. The captured video was analyzed by KINOVEA software to find out the stride length (meters), stride time (seconds) and stride frequency (strides/s). The collected data were analysed using Pearson product moment correlation to find the relationship of stride length, stride time and stride frequency on 100 m sprint performance. In all cases, level of significance was fixed at 0.05. It was concluded that stride length and stride frequency had significant correlation with 100 m sprint performance.
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## Introduction

The speed is the determinant component of 100 m sprinting performance and the speed is the product of stride length and stride frequency. Success in sprinting depends on the stride length and stride frequency (Sadri, 1994). The stride length is the more contributing factor of speed in 100 m performance than stride frequency among average and elite sprinters (Mackala 2007). Maximal velocity running is a result of stride length and stride frequency. Stride length can be greatly limited by an individual's size. Stride frequency can be affected by muscle composition (Majumdar, 2011). The stride time and stride frequency are the major parameters for the elite sprinters (Coh et al. 2001). The shorter sprinters are shorter in stride length and greater in stride frequency. The best sprinters of the world were observed by longer stride length and slower in stride frequency, most of the sprinters prefer to run with a shorter stride length but a faster stride frequency (Rompotti, 1972). The stride length and stride frequency is most essential factor to predict the sprinting performance (Hoffman, 1971). There are many studies reinforcing the fact that stride frequency and stride length are the determinants of 100 m sprint speed. But there is no evidence whether stride frequency and stride length at acceleration phase contributes to 100 m sprint performance. Hence, the
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present study investigate whether stride length, stride frequency and stride time at acceleration phase influences 100 m sprint performance.

## Methodology

The purpose of the study was to find out the relationship of stride length, stride time and stride frequency on 100 m sprint performance. For this purpose, 13 university level sprinters were selected from different colleges and athletic clubs in Chennai. The subjects were captured while running 100 meters sprint on mud track using four YI cameras with $\mathrm{f} / 2.8$ aperture lens with 155 degrees of view, resolution at 1080 p and 60 fps . All the 4 cameras were mounted at a height of 0.93 meters with the equal distance of seven meters from plane of motion. Video was captured from 40 meters to 68 meters of 100 m performance. The performance of 100 m sprint was measured in $1 / 100$ of a second. The captured video was analyzed with KINOVEA software to find out the stride length (the stride length was measured from toe to toe contact distance of successive steps in meters), stride time (time taken to complete the stride in seconds) and stride frequency (stride frequency is the number of strides taken in a given amount of time in numbers of stride/seconds). The collected data were analyzed using Pearson product moment correlation to find the relationship of stride length, stride time and stride frequency on 100 m sprint performance. In all cases, level of significance was fixed at 0.05 .

## Results and discussion

## Figure I

Showing the measurement of stride length, stride duration and stride frequency at acceleration phase of 100 m sprint


Table1
Descriptive data of the selected variables

| S. No. | Variables | N | Min | Max | Mean | Std. Deviation ( $\pm$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent variable |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | 100m Sprint Performance (Seconds) | 13 | 11.18 | 12.81 | 12.06 | 0.57 |
| Independent variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. | Stride length (Meters) | 13 | 1.92 | 2.65 | 2.35 | 0.23 |
| 3. | Stride time (Seconds) |  | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.04 |
| 4. | Stride frequency (No of strides/Seconds) |  | 4.86 | 6.95 | 5.61 | 0.55 |

Table -1 shows the mean value and standard deviation values of 100 m sprint performance (mean 12.06 seconds, $\pm 0.57$ ), stride length (mean -2.35 meters,
$\pm 0.23$ ), stride time (mean -0.17 seconds, $\pm 0.04$ ) and stride frequency (mean -5.61 strides/seconds, $\pm 0.55$ ).

Table 2
Showing the correlation analysis of selected variables

| Variables | Sprint Performance | Stride Length | Stride time | Stride frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 m Sprint Performance | $*$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 7 4} *$ | 0.171 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 5 6 8} *$ |
| Stride length |  | $*$ | -0.409 | $\mathbf{- 0 . 6 4 2} *$ |
| Stride time |  |  | $*$ | 0.154 |
| Stride frequency |  |  |  | $*$ |

Table ' $r$ ' value with df $11=0.553$ at 0.05 level of confidence

It was evident from the Table -2 that the stride length and stride frequency had a moderate negative correlation with 100 m sprinting performance. The stride time has weak positive correlation on 100 m sprint
performance. The stride length has moderate negative correlation with stride frequency.

Figure II
Showing relationship between stride time and 100 m sprinting performance


Figure III
Showing relationship between stride frequency and 100 m sprinting performance


Figure IV
Showing relationship between stride length and 100 m sprinting performance


Table 3
Showing ' $t$ ' test analysis of correlation value

|  | Stride Length | Stride frequency |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ' $r$ ' Value | -0.674 | -0.569 |
| Number of Subjects | 13 | 13 |
| ' $t$ ' Value | 3.03 | 2.29 |

Table 't' Value with df $11=2.20$ at 0.05 level of confidence

From the table 3 it was found that the ' $t$ ' value of stride length was 3.03 it was higher than the table value of 2.20 . Hence, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that there was a significant correlation between stride length and 100 m sprint performance at acceleration zone (40 to 68 m ).

It was also found that the ' $t$ ' value of stride frequency was 2.29 it was higher than the table value of 2.20. Hence, there is sufficient evidence to conclude that there was a significant correlation between stride frequency and 100 m sprint performance.

The stride frequency decreases as the stride length increases at 100 m acceleration phase. The sprinters' stride length is higher in acceleration phase and they should maintain the same tempo still end of the race. Similarly, elite athletes tend to have relatively longer stride length at acceleration phase.

## Conclusion

The stride length and stride frequency at acceleration phase had a moderate negative correlation with 100 m sprinting performance whereas the stride duration had a weak positive correlation.
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